

Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	<input type="checkbox"/> Key Decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Operational Decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Decision
Approximate value	<input type="checkbox"/> Below £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £500,000 to £1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> over £1,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £100,000 to £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over £500,000	<input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000
Director¹	City Development		
Contact person:	Daniel Riley		Telephone number: (0113) 37 88093
Subject²:	Design & Cost Report for S278 Highway Works Associated With the Ironworks Development on David Street, Holbeck, Leeds, LS11 5QP		
Decision details³:	<p>What decision has been taken? (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)</p> <p>The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) noted the detail of the highway works to provide safe pedestrian access to the development site as outlined in section 3 of this report shown on plan 653-02-CE-YY-XX-DR-C-11-0001 attached at Appendix 4 of this report; b) gave authority to negotiate the terms of and enter into an agreement with the developer under the provisions of Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980; whereby the works associated with the development are carried out by the developer and overseen by the Council with step in rights. c) gave authority to advertise a notice under the provisions of Section 90c of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 in order to inform the public of the new raised speed table and speed cushions on David Street; and if no valid objections are received, to continue with the works as advertised, d) authorised the City Solicitor to advertise a Draft Traffic Regulation Order to introduce no waiting at any time restrictions and amend existing parking bays on David Street as shown on drawing 653-02-CE-YY-XX-DR-C-11-0001; and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Orders as advertised; e) gave authority to incur expenditure of £10,000 TRO costs, £6,000 for the loss of a pay & display bay, £1,000 for a 90c notice and £6,000 staff fees to check the design and inspect the works, all of which will be fully funded by a developer through the Section 278 Agreement. 		


¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	<p>A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)</p> <p>To enable off-site highway works associated with the construction of a residential and commercial building comprising 72 dwellings, A1/A2/B1 flexible space at ground floor, associated covered parking area and landscaping. This will be overseen by the Council checking the design and construction with step in rights.</p> <p>To enable To enable the city solicitor to make, seal and implement a Traffic Regulation Order to accommodate the alterations on the highway and to enable the financing of those Orders and the checking and inspection of the works required by the planning consent for the development.</p>
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision
Affected wards:	Beeston & Holbeck
Details of consultation undertaken⁴:	Executive Member: Councillor Lisa Mulherin 21/01/21
	Ward Councillors Consulted 06/12/19
	Others Emergency services and WYPTE were consulted 06/12/19
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation Gillian Macleod – the works must be implemented prior to the development being brought into use.
List of	Date Added to List:-

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

Forthcoming Key Decisions⁵	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision	
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval Signature _____ Date _____	
Publication of report⁶	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:	
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval Signature _____ Date _____	
Call In	Is the decision available ⁷ for call-in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	If exempt from call-in , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:	
Approval of Decision	Authorised decision maker ⁸ Gary Bartlett – Chief Officer, Highways & Transportation	
	Signature 	Date 12.01.2021

⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.